Compliance Summary for 1/01/2015- 1/26/2015

	Total Clients Monitored	# of Compliant Clients	% of Compliant Clients	# of Clients with Confirmed Alerts	% of Non-Compliant Clients	# of Confirmed Alerts
Agency						
Jefferson	30	28	93%	2	7%	3
Jefferson ATC	7	7	100%	0	0%	0
Totals:	**37**	**35**	**95%**	**0**	**5%**	**3**
Client Type						
Pre-Trial				30		3
Supervised Release				7		0
Totals:				**37**		**4**
Alert Type						
Alcohol Detected					0%	0
Potential Tamper					75%	3
Totals:					**100%**	**3**

Compliance Summary for 1/01/2014- 12/31/2014

	Total Clients Monitored	# of Compliant Clients	% of Compliant Clients	# of Clients with Confirmed Alerts	% of Non-Compliant Clients	# of Confirmed Alerts
Agency						
Jefferson	120	90	75%	30	25%	70
Jefferson ATC	7	7	100%	0	0%	0
Totals:	**127**	**97**	**76%**	**30**	**19%**	**70**
Client Type						
Pre-Trial				120		70
Supervised Release				7		
Totals:				**127**		**48**
Alert Type						
Alcohol Detected					39%	27
Potential Tamper					61%	43
Totals:					**100%**	**70**

Jefferson County Alcohol Teatment Court Report

Up for team review		Currently 3						
S.C.	3rd	1/28/2015						
S.H.	4th	1/28/2015						
B.M.	3rd	1/28/2015						
Waiting for DUI RANT screen		Currently 0						
Current Caseload	OWI	Phase	Currently 10					
J.C.	3rd	1						
M.R.	5th	1						
H.G.	5th	1						
M.W.	3rd	1						
R.G.	3rd	1						
H.J.	5th	1						
D.R.	3rd	1						
C.M.	3rd	1						
J.M.	3rd	1						
J.M.	3rd	1						
		Currently 3		On	Off			
On SCRAMx								
C.M.	3rd			1/14/2015	2/14/2015			
H.G.	5th			1/2/2015	5/2/2015			
M.R.	5th			12/31/2015	4/31/15			
In Huber/Jail		Currently 3		Start Date	Day Out			
H.J.	5th			12/2/2014	4/2/2015			
J.M.	3rd			1/23/2015	2/6/2015			
J.M.	3rd			1/16/2015	1/30/2015			
Awaiting Sentencing	Current 2	Date						
R.B.		1/30/2015						
Z.W.		2/19/2015						

Purpose Sketch



Vision Sketch

People

- Community People
- Monitors & Mentors
- Key People in each sector to turn offenders from incarcerated to successful.

Services/Work Product

- Intervention – focused with primary prevention when appropriate.
- Coordination/Network

Structure/Processes

- Networked with community
- Reduce redundancy
- Role in monitoring best practice processes

Resources

- ROI
- Grants approach
- Leveraging public dollars and private money
- Network plus periphery
    - Coordinating Effect
    - Communication

Culture

- Empowering
- Externally/Community Focused
- Action Oriented Focus
- Values Based
- Restorative Justice

External Support

- Acceptance
- Leaders in Subject Matter
- Reciprocal
- Respect
- Authority
- Foster Change
- Dependent/Independent

Reflections on Vision

Are Key External People Involved?

Very Doable

Might be Limited by Resources

Self-Care & Realistic Vision are Important



Strategy Development & Formulation

The next step in the planning process is identification of strategies to implement in order to achieve the coalition's purpose and vision. The coalition identified the need to gather additional purposeful information regarding effective research-based best practice programs and approaches to reducing recidivism before proceeding with the strategy development phase of the planning process.

Additional Purposeful Information

The coalition members reviewed information compiled by members and by Ms. Eisenmann in two key areas: effective communication strategies and effective re-entry program development. Effective communication strategies are important because research shows there is a gap between how "experts" in the criminal justice system and the general public describe and understand the system. Developing communication strategies that effectively bridge the gap will be critical to advancing the coalition's purpose and vision. Effective re-entry programs are based on several key principles of program development unique to those programs. Examining that research, and best practice programs developed based on that research, will be important to formulating effective strategies.

Strategy Development & Formulation

The coalition members were ready to develop strategies after reviewing the additional information. The group quickly settled on developing a research-based re-entry program based on existing best practice. Ms. Eisenmann facilitated a strategy development exercise to walk the group through the steps to developing strategies necessary to develop such a program. This strategy development exercise will equip coalition members with the key process skills to continually monitor, evaluate and modify their actions based on changing environmental considerations, which is a key component to a holistic problem-solving approach. Below is an outline of that strategy development:

Strategy: Develop a Research-based, Best Practice Re-entry Program Using a Collaborative, Community-based Approach

Successful Outcomes:

- ◊ Replicable Model That We Can Implement Here in Jefferson County
- ◊ Core Program Needs (job skills, housing, transportation) Identified
- ◊ Key People Engaged
- ◊ Program Participants Have a Support Network

Barriers to Success:

- Lack of housing & transportation
- Can we identify a best practice model that will fit our unique needs?
- Lack of engagement by key people
- Lack of a coordinated network
- **Resource limitations**
- Burn-out risk/Lack of follow-through

Bold Font Denotes Most Significant Risk Identified

Strategy Most Likely to Succeed:

Get More Information on Successful Programs

- tools used (risk/needs assessment)
- programs
- community resources needed

Actions to Take within One Year:

1. Risk/Needs Assessment identified and trained in to implement consistently—the process of assessment
2. Make changes to existing programs based on assessment results

Committee Meeting Sign-In Sheet

Committee/Board Name: **Date of Meeting:**

Name (Please Print)	City or Township	Person/Firm Representing	Item # or General Comment
Liz Hanson	Jefferson	PADA/RPC	12
Kathy Eisenmann	Jefferson	UW-Extension	12
Sara Carpenter	WCS - Jefferson	WCS	7, 8, 10
Michael Bald	WCS	WCS	
KRISTY BUSSE	WCS	WCS	