

Comments to Co P+Z Committee p 1 of 2  
Nov. 29, 2021 (Submitted by Anita Martin)  
during public comment

Earlier this month, the Wisconsin Public Service Commission approved WE Energies to receive a Certificate of Authority to move forward with the LNG plant in Ixonia.

It's my understanding, the Emergency Plan for this operation is 3½ pages in length, and has been approved by County staff.

Compare this to the 64-page Tacoma LNG Fire + Safety Review (by Braemar Technical Services) (BEP 1251-05). This report was prepared for the City of Tacoma (Washington) Fire Department. This 64-page <sup>report</sup> was looked at by our Co Executive Committee. Retiring Fire Chief of Ixonia, Dave Schilling, referenced this report during a <sup>public</sup> meeting in the last year, or year-and-a-half.

(Source: <https://cms.cityoftacoma.org/planning/TacomaLNGFireandSafetyReview-ReportBEP1251-05-Final%207-2-2018.pdf>) (Continued)

Based on available public information, WE Energies has <sup>more than</sup> sufficient funds to be used for hiring trained, experienced, certified <sup>safety</sup> professionals to develop a site-specific emergency plan.

If WE Energies says they do not have the money to commit to hiring a 3rd-party subject matter expert for this critical task, then we need to ask 2 questions:

- ① What about money needed down the road for maintenance of this LNG operation?
- ② If they can't or won't allocate funds for an appropriate safety + emergency response plan now, what happens in the event of a serious problem or catastrophic situation?

The 3½-page emergency plan lacks sufficient details and provisions to protect public health + public safety. Why is this emergency plan going forward? Thank you for considering what has been stated here.

## **Comments to Co. P & Z Committee during Public Comment period**

Nov. 29, 2021 meeting (Submitted by Anita Martin)

Earlier this month, the Wisconsin Public Service Commission approved WE Energies to receive a Certificate of Authority, to move forward with the LNG plant in Ixonia.

It's my understanding, the Emergency Plan for this operation is 3 ½-pages in length, and has been approved by County staff.

Compare this plan with the 64-page Tacoma LNG Fire and Safety Review by Braemar Technical Services, BEP 1251-05. This report was prepared for the City of Tacoma (Washington) Fire Department. This 64-page report was looked at by our County Executive Committee. Retiring Fire Chief of Ixonia, Dave Schilling, referenced this report during a public meeting in the last year, or year-and-a-half. (Source: [https://cms.cityoftacoma.org/planning/TacomaLNGFireandSafetyReview-ReportBEP1251-05\\_Final%207-2-2018.pdf](https://cms.cityoftacoma.org/planning/TacomaLNGFireandSafetyReview-ReportBEP1251-05_Final%207-2-2018.pdf) )

Based on available public information, WE Energies has more than sufficient funds to be used for hiring trained, experienced, certified safety professionals to develop a site-specific emergency plan.

If WE Energies says they do not have money to commit to hiring a 3<sup>rd</sup>-party subject matter expert for this critical task, then we need to ask 2 questions:

- 1.) What about money needed down the road for maintenance of this LNG operation?
- 2.) If they can't—or won't—allocate funds for an appropriate safety and emergency response plan now, what happens in the event of a serious problem or catastrophic situation?

The 3 ½-page emergency plan lacks sufficient details and provisions to protect public health and public safety. Why is this emergency plan going forward?

Thank you for considering what has been stated here.

