

**JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD MINUTES
TUESDAY, AUGUST 8, 2017, 7:00 P.M.**

Chair Jim Schroeder presiding.

County Clerk Barbara A. Frank called the roll, all members being present except Morris, Rinard, Poulson, Spaanem and Schultz who gave prior notice of their inability to attend.

District 1..... Richard C. Jones	District 2 Mike Kelly
District 3..... Greg David	District 4 Augie Tietz
District 5... James B. Braughler	District 6 Ron Buchanan
District 7..... Dwayne C. Morris	District 8 Michael Wineke
District 9..... Amy Rinard	District 10 Lloyd Zastrow
District 11..... Donald Reese	District 12 Peter A. Hartz
District 13..... Ed Morse	District 14 Kirk Lund
District 15..... Steven J. Nass	District 16 Laura Payne
District 17..... Russell Kutz	District 18 Jennifer Hanneman
District 19..... Jim Schroeder	District 20 Jim Mode
District 21..... John C. Kannard	District 22 Blane Poulson
District 23..... George Jaeckel	District 24 Alyssa Spaanem
District 25..... Matthew Foelker	District 26 Gregg Patrick
District 27..... Conor Nelan	District 28 Dick Schultz
District 29..... Mary Roberts	District 30 Gary Kutz

Wehmeier led the Pledge of Allegiance. A moment of silence was observed.

Wehmeier certified compliance with the Open Meetings Law.

The Board proceeded with the agenda as printed.

Braughler, member of the Administration & Rules Committee, moved that the minutes of the July 11, 2017, meeting be approved as presented. Seconded and carried.

Communications.

**GENERAL FINANCIAL CONDITION
JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN
August 1, 2017**

Available Cash on Hand		
July 1, 2017	\$	123,227.01
July Receipts	<u>16,745,166.79</u>	
Total Cash		\$ 16,868,393.80
Disbursements		
General - July 2017	\$	10,625,181.13
Payroll - July 2017	<u>1,406,609.82</u>	
Total Disbursements		<u>12,031,790.95</u>
Total Available Cash		\$ 4,836,602.85
Cash on Hand (in banks)		
August 1, 2017	\$	5,278,454.92
Less Outstanding Checks	<u>441,852.07</u>	
Total Available Cash		\$ 4,836,602.85

Local Government Investment Pool - General	\$ 31,953,039.39
DANA Investments	28,361,192.97
Local Government Investment Pool - Clerk of Courts	26,207.50
Local Government Investment Pool - Farmland Preservation	171,170.94
Local Government Investment Pool - Parks/Liddle	82,290.06
Local Government Investment Pool - Highway Bond	<u>1,884,125.08</u>
	\$ 62,478,025.94

2017 Interest - Super N.O.W. Account	\$ 758.53
2017 Interest - L.G.I.P. - General Funds	58,467.67
2017 Interest - DANA Investments	306,036.11
2017 Interest - L.G.I.P. - Parks/Carol Liddle Fund	322.64
2017 Interest - L.G.I.P. - Farmland Preservation	671.10
2017 Interest - L.G.I.P. - Clerk of Courts	102.76
2017 Interest - L.G.I.P. - Highway Bond	<u>7,387.02</u>
Total 2017 Interest	\$ 373,745.83

JOHN E. JENSEN, JEFFERSON COUNTY TREASURER

Frank presented the following communications:

1. Appointment dated and effective July 13, 2017, by County Board Chair Schroeder appointing Mary Roberts to the Wisconsin River Rail Transit Commission (WRRTC) to fill an unexpired term ending April 17, 2018.

2. Appointment dated and effective July 13, 2017, by County Board Chair Schroeder appointing Alyssa Spaanem to the Wisconsin County Utility Tax Association (WCUTA) to fill an unexpired term ending April 17, 2018.

3. Letter from Heidi Schwoch thanking the Board for the resolution honoring her mother, Harriet Schwoch, for her service on the Board.

4. Notice of Public Hearing from the Planning & Zoning Committee for a hearing to be held on August 17, 2017, at 7:00 p.m. in Room 205 of the Jefferson County Courthouse, Jefferson.

The notice and communications were received and placed on file.

Public Comment (agenda items). None.

Highway Commissioner Bill Kern, Fair Park Supervisor Roger Kylmanen, Clerk of Courts Carla Robinson, Finance Director Marc DeVries and Veterans' Service Officer Yvonne Duesterhoeft presented their department's annual report. The annual reports were received and placed on file pursuant to Board Rule 3.03(11).

Tietz, member of the Economic Development Consortium, introduced Resolution No. 2017-24.

Executive Summary

The Jefferson County Board approved membership in the Jefferson County Economic Development Consortium (JCEDC)

by Resolution No. 2003-28 adopted June 10, 2003. The Consortium includes Jefferson County, the cities of Fort Atkinson, Jefferson, Lake Mills, Waterloo, Watertown, Whitewater and the Villages of Cambridge and Johnson Creek and is governed by an Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement. The cost of membership was initially established at \$1.00 per municipal or county resident in 2003 and this amendment increases this amount to \$1.50 per municipal or county resident. For the last 13 years, the JCEDC has only received rate increases that are in line with population increase in the County and member municipalities. Jefferson County and the member municipalities have already approved this new rate as part of each of their respective entities' 2017 Budgets. The majority of the entities have already paid their contribution to the JCEDC for 2017 at this new rate. The current agreement expires in 2017 and this resolution extends the agreement to 2021. The Jefferson County Economic Development Consortium met on June 29, 2017, and recommended forwarding this resolution to the County Board for approval.

WHEREAS, the Executive Summary is incorporated into this resolution, and

WHEREAS, the Jefferson County Board approved membership in the Jefferson County Economic Development Consortium by Resolution No. 2003-28 adopted June 10, 2003, and

WHEREAS, the Consortium includes Jefferson County, the cities of Fort Atkinson, Jefferson, Lake Mills, Waterloo, Watertown, Whitewater and the Villages of Cambridge and Johnson Creek, and

WHEREAS, the current Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement expires in 2017 and the Jefferson County Economic Development Consortium recommends continuing the agreement for an additional 4 years, and

WHEREAS, continuing the agreement must be approved by Jefferson County as well as the governing bodies of the Jefferson County Economic Development Consortium members, and

WHEREAS, the Jefferson County Economic Development Consortium Board recommends continuing the attached Jefferson County Economic Development Consortium Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors hereby approves continuing the attached Jefferson County Economic Development Consortium Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement [agreement available at the County Clerk's office upon request or on the County's website at www.jeffersoncountywi.gov] and authorizes the County Administrator to execute the agreement on behalf of Jefferson County.

Fiscal Note: Jefferson County's funding obligation was approved by the County Board in the 2017 budget. As such there is no additional fiscal impact.

Tietz moved that Resolution No. 2017-24 be adopted. Seconded.

Kelly moved to refer Resolution No. 2017-24 back to commit-

tee. Seconded and carried: Ayes 17 (Kelly, David, Zastrow, Hartz, Morse, Lund, Nass, Payne, R. Kutz, Hanneman, Kannard, Jaekel, Foelker, Patrick, Nelan, Roberts, G. Kutz), Noes 8 (Jones, Tietz, Braughler, Buchanan, Wineke, Reese, Schroeder, Mode), Absent 5 (Morris, Rinard, Poulson, Spaanem, Schultz).

Jones, Chair of the Finance Committee, introduced Resolution No. 2017-25.

Executive Summary

A claim has been made against Jefferson County for damages. Settlement is currently being negotiated between the claimant and the County's insurance carrier, Wisconsin Municipal Mutual Insurance Company (WMMIC). Because no formal settlement has been reached, WMMIC is recommending that the County formally deny this claim to reduce the time period for the claimant to file legal action from 3 years to 6 months in the event the parties are not able to settle without legal action. This resolution formally denies the claim filed against Jefferson County and directs the Corporation Counsel to give the claimant notice of disallowance. The Finance Committee met on July 13, 2017, and recommended forwarding this resolution to the County Board to disallow the claim.

WHEREAS, the above Executive Summary is incorporated into this resolution, and

WHEREAS, the following claim was filed against Jefferson County as follows:

Claimant	Date of Loss	Claim Filed	Description	Alleged Damages
Elizabeth Weber	4/20/17	5/23/17	A detective from the Jefferson County Drug Task Force stopped his vehicle on the shoulder of the road near the intersection of CTH N and CTH M to assist at a traffic accident when his unattended vehicle allegedly rolled into a white Chevrolet Cruz automobile owned by Elizabeth Weber causing damage to Ms. Weber's vehicle.	\$510.94

WHEREAS, said damage is alleged to be the result of negligence of Jefferson County, its agents, officials, officers or employees, and

WHEREAS, Jefferson County's insurance carrier, Wisconsin Municipal Mutual Insurance Company, recommends formally denying this claim to reduce the time period for the claimant to file legal action from three years to six months in the event the parties are not able to settle without legal action,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors hereby disallows said claim and directs the Corporation Counsel to give the claimant notice of disal-

lowance.

Fiscal Note: This matter has been referred to Wisconsin Municipal Mutual Insurance Company (WMMIC) and will be resolved in accordance with the terms of the County's policy.

Jones moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 2017-25.
Seconded and carried.

Jones introduced Resolution No. 2017-26.

Executive Summary

A claim has been made against Jefferson County for damages. The claim has been reviewed by the County's insurance carrier, WMMIC, and was recommended for disallowance based on the finding that the County is not legally responsible for the alleged damages. This resolution formally denies said claim filed against Jefferson County and directs the Corporation Counsel to give the claimants notice of disallowance. The Finance Committee met on July 13, 2017, and recommended forwarding this resolution to the County Board to disallow the claim.

WHEREAS, the above Executive Summary is incorporated into this resolution, and

WHEREAS, the following claim was filed against Jefferson County as follows:

<u>Claimant</u>	<u>Date of Loss</u>	<u>Date of Claim Filed</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Alleged Damages</u>
Kristen Niebler	6/6/17	6/6/17	Kristen Niebler's 2011 Dodge Caravan Grand R/T van was traveling south on Highway G when at the intersection of Highway J she alleges that a rock was thrown from the lawn mower of a Jefferson County Highway Department employee causing chipped paint damage to her vehicle	\$333.80

WHEREAS, the Jefferson County Highway Department had mower guards in place at the time this incident is alleged to have occurred, and

WHEREAS, said damage is alleged to be the result of negligence of Jefferson County, its agents, officials, officers or employees, and

WHEREAS, Jefferson County's insurance carrier, Wisconsin Municipal Mutual Insurance Company, recommends disallowance of the claim on the basis that the County is not legally responsible for the alleged damage.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors hereby disallows said claim and directs the Corporation Counsel to give the claimant notice of disallowance.

Fiscal Note: This matter has been referred to Wisconsin Mu-

unicipal Mutual Insurance Company (WMMIC) and will be resolved in accordance with the terms of the County's policy.

Jones moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 2017-26.
Seconded and carried.

Jones introduced Resolution No. 2017-27.

Executive Summary

A claim has been made against Jefferson County for damages. The claim has been reviewed by the County's insurance carrier, Wisconsin Municipal Mutual Insurance Company (WMMIC), and was recommended for disallowance based on the finding that the County is not legally responsible for the alleged damages. This resolution formally denies said claim filed against Jefferson County and directs the Corporation Counsel to give the claimant notice of disallowance. The Finance Committee met on July 13, 2017, and recommended forwarding this resolution to the County Board to disallow the claim.

WHEREAS, the above Executive Summary is incorporated into this resolution, and

WHEREAS, the following claim was filed against Jefferson County as follows:

Claimant	Date of Claim Loss Filed	Description	Alleged Damages
AT&T	3/28/17 6/13/17	AT&T alleges that the Jefferson County Highway Department damaged a cable owned by AT&T while replacing a pole near 7418 County Highway 106 west of County Road J	\$6,227.04

WHEREAS, said damage is alleged to be the result of negligence of Jefferson County, its agents, officials, officers or employees, and

WHEREAS, the Jefferson County Highway Department denies the allegations of AT&T, and

WHEREAS, Jefferson County's insurance carrier, Wisconsin Municipal Mutual Insurance Company, recommends disallowance of the claim on the basis that the County is not legally responsible for the alleged damage.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors hereby disallows said claim and directs the Corporation Counsel to give the claimant notice of disallowance.

Fiscal Note: This matter has been referred to Wisconsin Municipal Mutual Insurance Company (WMMIC) and will be resolved in accordance with the terms of the County's policy.

Jones moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 2017-27.
Seconded and carried.

Jones introduced Resolution No. 2017-28.

Executive Summary

Effective December 15, 2013, the Wisconsin State Legisla-

ture amended section 66.0413, Wisconsin Statutes. The new law makes municipalities responsible for costs associated with razing a structure in their jurisdiction. When a municipality makes the decision to raze a building, it cannot automatically pass the cost on to the County as a special tax as it could under the prior law. Razing costs must now be designated as a special assessment which gives counties the option of reimbursing a municipality for razing costs when property taxes are not paid. Jefferson County Board Resolution No. 85-79 adopted February 11, 1986, provides that Jefferson County will settle with municipal taxing jurisdictions for unpaid special assessments using county funds, but does not place any limitation on the amount payable by the County to municipalities. This resolution amends Resolution No. 85-79 by placing limitations on payments by the County to municipalities of \$10,000 per tax parcel and excludes payments to municipalities for costs associated with razing buildings. The Finance Committee met on July 13, 2017, and recommended forwarding this resolution to the County Board for approval.

WHEREAS, the Executive Summary is hereby incorporated by reference into this resolution, and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 85-79, adopted February 11, 1986, provides that Jefferson County will settle with other taxing jurisdictions for unpaid special assessments using county funds, and

WHEREAS, the vast majority of special assessments or special charges do not aggregate to more than \$10,000 per parcel, and

WHEREAS, some special assessments, particularly in tax incremental finance districts, may exceed \$10,000 per parcel, and

WHEREAS, unlimited settlement by the County may expose the County to risk of loss where the amount advanced for settlement of unpaid special assessments or special charges may not be realized through tax foreclosure, and

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee recommends that Resolution No. 85-79 be amended to provide a limit on the amount that the County will pay to settle unpaid special assessments or special charges not to exceed \$10,000 per tax parcel and exclude costs associated with razing buildings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Jefferson County Resolution No. 85-79 is hereby amended to provide that the County will settle with municipalities in full for unpaid special assessments or special charges, excluding costs associated with razing buildings, not to exceed a total of \$10,000 per tax parcel.

Fiscal Note: This resolution will implement legislation which allows counties to exclude payments to municipalities for unpaid special assessments associated with razing buildings. This will limit the County's financial risk and could result in a cost savings to Jefferson County.

Jones moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 2017-28.
Seconded and carried: Ayes 24 (Jones, David, Tietz, Braughler, Buchanan, Wineke, Zastrow, Reese, Hartz, Morse, Lund, Nass,

Payne, R. Kutz, Hanneman, Schroeder, Mode, Kannard, Jaeckel, Foelker, Patrick, Nelan, Roberts, G. Kutz), Noes 1 (Kelly), Absent 5 (Morris, Rinard, Poulson, Spaanem, Schultz).

Braugler, Chair of the Human Resources Committee, introduced Resolution No. 2017-29.

Executive Summary

The Jefferson County Drug Task Force is a multi-jurisdictional, multiple agency Task Force. The localized Task Force is comprised of ten Jefferson County Law Enforcement agencies and the Jefferson County District Attorney's Office. A detective sergeant from the Jefferson County Sheriff's Office is assigned as the Project Director/Lead Officer for the day-to-day operations of the task force. The remainder of the unit is comprised of two full-time Sheriff's Office detectives, full-time detectives from the Fort Atkinson Police Department and the Watertown Police Department, a part-time officer from the Jefferson Police Department, and a limited-term employee funded by the other member agencies.

The Task Force continues to focus on combatting the growing problem of the sale and use of opioids, heroin and methamphetamine within Jefferson County. The number of heroin overdoses has increased dramatically and continues to rise each year. The Sheriff is requesting additional part-time deputies dedicated to the Drug Task Force to respond to this growing problem. The part-time deputies will perform a variety of Drug Task Force-related assignments, including initiating and assisting with drug-related investigations, seizing vehicles and other assets involved in drug trading and conducting educational presentations to schools and civic groups. The part-time pool Drug Task Force deputies will be fully reimbursed by Drug Task Force member agencies and have no County tax levy impact.

On July 18, 2017, the Human Resources Committee reviewed the request from the Sheriff and is recommending to the County Board of Supervisors the creation of a pool of part-time Drug Task Force deputies.

WHEREAS, the above Executive Summary is incorporated into this resolution, and

WHEREAS, with the significant increase in the sale, use and addiction of opiates and heroin in Jefferson County, current staffing levels in the Drug Task Force unit at the Sheriff's Office cannot effectively address the problem as needed, and

WHEREAS, to meet the need to combat the opiate epidemic and ultimately provide protection for Jefferson County citizens, the Sheriff requests, and the Human Resources Committee recommends, creation of a pool of part-time, non-benefited, Deputies to be assigned to the Drug Task Force Unit at the Sheriff's Office, and

WHEREAS, full funding is available through an agreement with participating agencies of the Jefferson County Drug Task Force.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the 2017 County Budget setting forth position allocations and funding at the Sher-

iff's Office be and is hereby amended to create a pool of part-time, non-benefited, Deputy positions assigned to the Drug Task Force Unit at the Sheriff's Office, to become effective upon passage.

Fiscal Note: The cost of wages and benefits for the pool of part-time, non-benefited, Drug Task Force Deputies will vary on the number of hours assigned and is fully funded through participating agencies of the Jefferson County Drug Task Force; therefore, no County tax-levy is required for these positions. An estimated additional 2,080 part-time hours are needed annually (780 hours for the remainder of 2017). This action will require a budget amendment that increases both revenue and expenditure appropriations by \$15,443. County Board approval requires a two-thirds vote of the entire membership of the County Board (20 votes of the 30 member County Board).

Braugler moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 2017-

29. Seconded and carried: Ayes 25 (Jones, Kelly, David, Tietz, Braugler, Buchanan, Wineke, Zastrow, Reese, Hartz, Morse, Lund, Nass, Payne, R. Kutz, Hanneman, Schroeder, Mode, Kannard, Jaeckel, Foelker, Patrick, Nelan, Roberts, G. Kutz), Noes 0, Absent 5 (Morris, Rinard, Poulson, Spaanem, Schultz).

David, Chair of the Infrastructure Committee, introduced Resolution No. 2017-30.

Executive Summary

On August 8, 2017, the Human Services Board reviewed bids from vendors for concrete installation and sidewalk replacement at the Human Services, Hillside Office, Lueder Haus and Workforce Development buildings and determined Kramer Enterprises to be the lowest responsible bidder. The Human Services Board recommended Kramer Enterprises for the project over the next lowest bidder after it determined that Kramer Enterprises would be better able to perform the required work due to its specialization in concrete flatwork such as sidewalk and patio installation as required by the project bid specifications. The Infrastructure Committee was updated on this project at its August 2, 2017 meeting. The Human Services Board met on August 8, 2017, and recommended forwarding this resolution to the County Board to accept the bid from Kramer Enterprises as the lowest responsible bidder.

WHEREAS, the Jefferson County Human Services Board received bids for concrete installation and sidewalk replacement at the Human Services, Hillside Office, Lueder Haus and Workforce Development buildings, and

WHEREAS, bids were received and opened on August 7, 2017, with the following results:

<u>Company</u>	<u>Total Bid Price</u>
D.C. Burbach Inc.	\$33,110.00
Kramer Enterprises	\$33,603.00
Hans Bros. Inc.	\$37,320.00

AND WHEREAS, the Human Services Board has determined that Kramer Enterprises is the lowest responsible bidder for this project due to its specialization in concrete flatwork such as

sidewalk and patio installation as required by the bid specifications and recommends accepting this bid.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Jefferson County Administrator is authorized to accept the bid of Kramer Enterprises as the lowest responsible bidder for concrete installation and sidewalk replacement at the Human Services, Hillside Office, Lueder Haus and Workforce Development buildings in the amount of \$33,603.

Fiscal Note: Funds for this project have been allocated in 2017 Human Services Department Budget, account number 5210.594820.

David moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 2017-30. Seconded and carried: Ayes 25 (Jones, Kelly, David, Tietz, Braughler, Buchanan, Wineke, Zastrow, Reese, Hartz, Morse, Lund, Nass, Payne, R. Kutz, Hanneman, Schroeder, Mode, Kannard, Jaeckel, Foelker, Patrick, Nelan, Roberts, G. Kutz), Noes 0, Absent 5 (Morris, Rinard, Poulson, Spaanem, Schultz).

Nass, Chair of the Planning & Zoning Committee, introduced the following report:

**REPORT
TO THE HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE
JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

The Jefferson County Planning and Zoning Committee, having considered petitions to amend the official zoning map of Jefferson County and the text of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance, filed for public hearing held on July 20, 2017, as required by law pursuant to Wisconsin Statutes, notice thereof having been given, and being duly advised of the wishes of the town boards and persons in the areas affected, hereby makes the following recommendations: Approval of petitions R3978A-17, R3979A-17, R3980A-17, R3981A-17, R3982A-17, R3983A-17, R3984A-17, R3985A-17, R3986A-17, R3987A-17, R3988A-17, R3989A-17, R3990A-17, R3991A-17, R3992A-17 and text amendment R3993T-17.

Dated this 24th day of July 2017. Donald Reese, Secretary.

The prior month's amendments R3965A-17, R3969A-17, R3971A-17, R3972A-17, R3973A-17, R3974A-17, R3975A-17, R3976A-17 and R3977A-17 are effective upon passage by County Board, subject to Wis. Stats. 59.69(5).

Nass introduced Ordinance No. 2017-08 which was on each supervisor's desk top. Note: The ordinance in the packet was revised before the County Board meeting.

WHEREAS, the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors has heretofore been petitioned to amend the official zoning map of Jefferson County, and

WHEREAS, Petitions R3978A-17, R3979A-17, R3980A-17, R3981A-17, R3982A-17, R3983A-17, R3984A-17, R3985A-17, R3986A-17, R3987A-17, R3988A-17, R3989A-17, R3990A-17, R3991A-17 and R3992A-17 were referred to the Jefferson County Planning and Zoning Committee for public hearing on July 20,

2017, and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments have been given due consideration by the Board of Supervisors in open session,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED that the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors does amend the official zoning map of Jefferson County as follows:

**FROM BUSINESS TO A-2, AGRICULTURAL
AND RURAL BUSINESS**

Rezone 0.51 acre of PIN 014-0615-0212-007 (10.55 acres) to add it to an adjoining A-2 zone near W3092 US Highway 18 in the Town of Jefferson. This is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)7 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. This action is conditioned upon approval and recording of a final certified survey map for the property. R3978A-17 – Ronald Ulsberger

**FROM A-1, EXCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL TO A-3,
AGRICULTURAL/RURAL RESIDENTIAL**

Create a 1-acre building site on Piper Road from part of PIN 004-0515-2244-001 (5.289 acres) in the Town of Cold Spring in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. This utilizes the last available A-3 zone for the property; therefore rezoning is conditioned upon recording of an affidavit acknowledging that fact. It is further conditioned upon road access approval, receipt of a suitable soil test for the lot, and approval and recording of a final certified survey map for the lot, including extraterritorial plat review if necessary. R3979A-17 – Brian Allen

Rezone a 1.1581-acre lot on Rockvale Road on PIN 012-0816-2733-000 (35.19 acres) by consolidation of parcels of record from 012-0816-2732-000 (35.31 acres). This is in the Town of Ixonia and is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. This utilizes the last available A-3 zone for the property; rezoning is conditioned upon recording of an affidavit acknowledging that fact. It is further conditioned upon road access approval, receipt of a suitable soil test and approval and recording of a final certified survey map for the lot. R3980A-17 – Curtis Pernat

Create a 3-acre farm consolidation lot at N7543 County Road O, a 1-acre lot around the home at N7533 County Road O and a 1-acre vacant lot adjacent. These lots are proposed from PIN 030-0813-3124-000 (40 acres) in the Town of Waterloo, in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. This utilizes the last available A-3 zone for the property; rezoning is conditioned upon recording of an affidavit acknowledging that fact. It is further conditioned upon modification of the farm consolidation lot to include the existing private on-site waste treatment system, upon road access approval, receipt of a suitable soil test for the vacant lot, and approval and recording of a final certified survey map for the lots. R3981A-17 – Ronald & Lori Draeger

Create a 6-acre building site on County Road E from part of PIN 032-0815-1444-000 (40.816 acres) in the Town of Watertown, in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. This utilizes the last available A-3 zone for the proper-

ty; rezoning is conditioned upon recording of an affidavit acknowledging that fact. It is also conditioned upon road access approval, receipt of a suitable soil test and approval and recording of a final certified survey map for the lot, including extraterritorial plat review if necessary. R3982A-17 – Rodney Johnson

Rezone to create a 2.5-acre lot around the buildings at N8937 County Road E, a 1.3-acre building site and a 2-acre building site adjacent. The proposal is in the Town of Watertown, on PIN 032-0815-1133-003 (12.27 acres), and is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. Rezoning is conditioned upon road access approval, receipt of suitable soil tests for the vacant lots and approval and recording of a final certified survey map, including extraterritorial plat review if necessary. R3983A-17 – Ryan & Meliza Ritacca

Create a 2-acre building site with existing farm buildings on Rome Oak Hill Road in the Town of Sullivan from part of PIN 026-0616-2821-000 (24.11 acres). This is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f) 8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. Approval is conditioned upon receipt of a suitable soil test and approval and recording of a final certified survey map. R3984A-17 – Dan Marks/Richwood Ranch LLC

Create a 2-acre farm consolidation lot at N3281 Rome Oak Hill Road, one 4-acre and one 2-acre vacant lot, all from part of PINs 026-0616-2824-000 (34.31 acres) and 026-0616-2821-000 (24.11 acres) in the Town of Sullivan. This is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. This utilizes the last available A-3 zone for the property; rezoning is conditioned upon recording of an affidavit acknowledging that fact. It is further conditioned upon road access approval, receipt of suitable soil tests for the vacant lots and approval and recording of a final certified survey map. R3985A-17 – Dan Marks/Richwood Ranch LLC

FROM A-1, EXCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL TO NATURAL RESOURCES

Rezone to create a 31.5-acre Natural Resource zone from part of PINs 026-0616-2824-000 (34.31 acres) and 026-0616-2842-001 (30 acres) on Rome Oak Hill Road in the Town of Sullivan. This is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)12 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. This action requires road access approval if this zone is to be sold separately from adjoining land, and approval and recording of a final certified survey map for the lot. R3986A-17 – Dan Marks/Richwood Ranch LLC

FROM A-1, EXCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL TO A-3, AGRICULTURAL/RURAL RESIDENTIAL

Create a 1-acre farm consolidation lot at N3698 Rome Oak Hill Road and two, 3-acre vacant building sites adjacent, from PINs 026-0616-1744-000 (40 acres) and 026-0616-2011-001 (20 acres). The sites are in the Town of Sullivan, and in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. This utilizes the last available A-3 zone for the property; rezoning

is conditioned upon recording of an affidavit acknowledging that fact. Also, it is conditioned upon receipt of suitable soil tests for the vacant lots and approval and recording of a final certified survey map. The barn which currently exists must be removed because it is located over proposed lot lines. R3987A-17 – Pete Gross/Land Hunter LLC

**FROM A-1, EXCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL
TO NATURAL RESOURCES**

Rezone to create a 14-acre Natural Resource zone from part of PINs 026-0616-1744-000 (40 acres), 026-0616-2011-004 (0.2 acre) and 026-0616-2122-002 (0.28 acre) in the Town of Sullivan. The property is on Rome Oak Hill Road; this is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)12 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. Rezoning is conditioned upon approval and recording of a final certified survey map for the lot. R3988A-17 – Pete Gross/Land Hunter LLC

**FROM A-1, EXCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL TO A-3,
AGRICULTURAL/RURAL RESIDENTIAL**

Create two, 2-acre vacant building sites on Rome Oak Hill Road in the Town of Sullivan from part of PIN 026-0616-2011-001 (20 acres). This is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. Rezoning is conditioned upon road access approval, receipt of suitable soil tests and approval and recording of a final certified survey map. R3989A-17 – Pete Gross/Land Hunter LLC

**FROM A-1, EXCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL TO
N, NATURAL RESOURCE**

Rezone 15 acres for a Natural Resource zone on Rome Oak Hill Road, Town of Sullivan, from PINs 026-0616-2011-001 (20 acres). This is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)12 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. Action is conditioned upon road access approval if it is to be sold separately from adjoining land and & approval and recording of final certified survey map for the lot. R3990A-17 – Pete Gross/Land Hunter LLC

**FROM A-1, EXCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL TO A-3,
AGRICULTURAL/RURAL RESIDENTIAL**

Create a 3.2-acre building site on Carlin Trail in the Town of Palmyra from PIN 024-0516-2424-000 (32 acres). This is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. Rezoning is conditioned upon road access approval, receipt of a suitable soil test and approval and recording of a final certified survey map for the lot, including extraterritorial plat review if necessary. This will nullify the previous approval of Zoning Amendment R3964A-17 on this property. R3991A-17 –Paul Holt/Bernard Gilbert property

**FROM A-1, EXCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL TO A-2,
AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL BUSINESS**

Create a 4.1-acre A-2 zone on Carlin Trail from PIN 024-0516-2424-000 (32 acres) in the Town of Palmyra. This is in accordance with Sec. 11.04(f)7 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance.

nance. This action is conditioned upon road access approval and approval and recording of the final certified survey map, including extraterritorial plat review if necessary. R3992A-17 – Paul Holt/ Bernard Gilbert property

The above rezonings shall be null and void and of no affect one year from date of County Board approval unless all applicable conditions have been completed by that date.

Nass moved for the adoption of Ordinance No. 2017-08 as printed. Seconded and carried with Kannard abstaining for possible conflict of interest.

Nass introduced Ordinance No. 2017-09.

Executive Summary

The Jefferson County Planning & Zoning Department has completed amendments to the County shoreland regulations as required by State Administrative Rule – Natural Resources Chapter 115 (NR115) and the State of Wisconsin. These amendments are the result of two acts passed by the state legislature shortly after Jefferson County’s repeal and recreation of Section 11.10, Shoreland Provisions, of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance, which was passed by the County Board on March 8, 2016. Recently enacted Wisconsin Acts 167 and 391 amended state statutes requiring minor changes to NR115, which subsequently changed the State Model Shoreland Ordinance.

The attached text amendment, when passed by County Board, will bring Jefferson County’s ordinance back into compliance with current regulations. This is required by the Department of Natural Resources.

The County Planning & Zoning Department, with support from the Planning & Zoning Committee, recommends enacting this text amendment to incorporate all of the revisions and law changes to date. The most recent changes include how water navigability and ordinary high-water mark determinations are made; how public utility facilities are defined; updates to the standard lot section; changes to boathouse regulations and existing exempt structures; modifications to reduce principle structure setbacks; activities allowed within a vegetative buffer zone; maintenance, repair, replacement or vertical expansion of non-conforming structures including those authorized by a variance; and a definition change to impervious surface.

A public hearing was held on the text amendment on July 20, 2017. The Planning & Zoning Committee met on July 24, 2017, and recommended forwarding this ordinance to the County Board.

THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF JEFFERSON COUNTY DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 11.10(b)1.c. (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is amended as follows:

c. The provisions of this chapter apply to regulation of the use and development of unincorporated shoreland areas. Unless ~~Not~~ specifically exempted by law, all cities, villages, towns, counties and, when s. 13.48 (13), Stats., applies, state agencies, ~~that~~

are required to comply with, and obtain all necessary permits under local shoreland ordinances. The construction, reconstruction, maintenance or repair of state highways and bridges carried out under the direction and supervision of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation is not subject to local shoreland zoning ordinances if s. 30.2022 (1), Stats., applies (NR 115.02). Shoreland zoning requirements in annexed or incorporated areas are provided in s. 61.353 and s. 62.233, Stats.

Section 2. Section 11.10(b)2 (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is amended as follows:

2. DETERMINATIONS OF NAVIGABILITY AND ORDINARY HIGH-WATER MARK. Determinations of navigability and ordinary high-water mark location shall initially be made by the zoning administrator. When questions arise, the zoning administrator shall contact the appropriate office of the Department for a final determination of navigability or ordinary high-water mark. The County may work with surveyors with regard to s. 59.692(1h).

Section 3. Sections 11.10(b)6.g. and 11.10(b)6.g.1. (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance are created as follows:

g. [s. 59.692(7), Stats.] The construction and maintenance of a facility is considered to satisfy the requirements of a shoreland zoning ordinance if:

1. The department has issued all required permits or approvals authorizing the construction or maintenance under ch. 30, 31, 281 or 283.

A "facility" means any property or equipment of a public utility, as defined in s. 196.01(5), or a cooperative association organized under ch. 185 for the purpose of producing or furnishing heat, light or power to its members only, that is used for the transmission, delivery or furnishing of natural gas, heat, light or power.

Section 4. Sections 11.10(e)4. and a. through c. (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance are amended as follows:

~~4. SUBSTANDARD LOTS. [NR 115.05(1)(a)3.] The intent of this provision is to allow lots that were legally created that currently do not meet the minimum lot width and area requirements to be considered a building site provided all ordinance requirements can be met. Substandard lots that have been reconfigured by a certified survey map or consolidated into one legal description with the register of deeds, which result in a larger (closer to conforming) lot should be allowed to be utilized as a building site. Additionally, lots that have a legal description for each substandard lot on record with the Register of Deeds but have one tax parcel number assigned by the Real Property Lister or Assessor for taxing/assessing purposes should be considered separate building sites and should not be considered consolidated. Lots that have had development over the lot lines should be combined with a legal description and recorded with a new deed prior to new development occurring. A legally created lot or parcel that met minimum area and minimum average width requirements when created, but does~~

not meet current lot size requirements, may be used as a building site if all of the following apply:

a. The substandard lot or parcel was never reconfigured or combined with another lot or parcel by plat, survey, or consolidation by the owner into one property tax parcel.

b. The substandard lot or parcel has never been developed with one or more of its structures placed partly upon an adjacent lot or parcel.

c. The substandard lot or parcel is developed to comply with all other ordinance requirements.

4. SUBSTANDARD LOTS [NR115.05(1)(A)3]. A legally created lot or parcel that met minimum area and minimum average width requirements when created, but does not meet current lot size requirements, may be used as a building site if all of the following apply:

a. The substandard lot or parcel was never reconfigured or combined with another lot or parcel by plat, survey or consolidation by the owner into one property tax parcel.

b. The substandard lot or parcel has never been developed with one or more of its structures placed partly upon an adjacent lot or parcel.

c. The substandard lot or parcel is developed to comply with all other ordinance requirements.

Note: The intent of this provision is to allow lots that were legally created that currently do not meet the minimum lot width and area requirements to be considered a building site provided all ordinance requirements can be met. Substandard lots that have been reconfigured by a certified survey map or consolidated into one legal description with the Register of Deeds, which result in a larger (closer to conforming) lot should be allowed to be utilized as a building site. Additionally, lots that have a legal description for each substandard lot on record with the Register of Deeds, but have one tax parcel number assigned by the Real Property Lister or Assessor for taxing assessing purposes, should be considered separate building sites and should not be considered consolidated. Lots that have had development over the lot lines should be combined with a legal description and recorded with a new deed prior to new development occurring.

Section 5. Section 11.10(f)1.a. (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is amended as follows:

a. EXEMPT STRUCTURES [NR 115.05(1)(b)1m and s. 59.692(1k)(a)6, Wis. Stats.] All of the following structures are exempt from the shoreland setback standards in subd. 11.10(f)1:

Section 6. Sections 11.10(f)1.a.1.e. and 1. through 3. (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance are amended as follows:

e. Roof slope may not be less than 2:12 (rise to run). Boat-house roofs shall not be designed or used as decks, observation platforms or for other similar uses:

e. The roof of a boathouse may be used as a deck provided that:

- (1) The boathouse has a flat roof.
- (2) The roof has no side walls or screens.
- (3) The roof has a railing that meets the Department of Safety and Professional Services standards.

Section 7. Section 11.10(f)1.a.8. (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is created as follows:

8. Devices or systems used to treat runoff from impervious surfaces.

Section 8. Section 11.10(f)1.b. (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is created as follows:

b. EXISTING EXEMPT STRUCTURES. [s. 59.692(1k)(a)2m(bm), Stats.] Existing exempt structures may be maintained, repaired, replaced, restored, rebuilt and remodeled provided the activity does not expand the footprint and does not go beyond the three-dimensional building envelope of the existing structure. Counties may allow expansion of a structure beyond the existing footprint if the expansion is necessary to comply with applicable state or federal requirements.

Note: Section 59.692(1k)(a)2m, Stats., prohibits counties from requiring any approval or imposing any fee or mitigation requirement for the activities specified in section 11.10(f)(2). However, it is important to note that property owners may be required to obtain permits or approval and counties may impose fees under ordinances adopted pursuant to other statutory requirements, such as floodplain zoning, general zoning, sanitary codes, building codes or stormwater erosion control.

Section 9. Section 11.10(f)2 (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is amended as follows:

2. REDUCED PRINCIPAL STRUCTURE SETBACK. [NR 415.05(1)(b)1 & s. 59.692(1d)(a)] Existing development pattern means that principal structures exist within 250 feet of the proposed principal structure in both directions along the shoreline. Where there is an existing development pattern, the shoreland setback for a proposed principal structure may be reduced to the average shoreland setback of the principal structure on each adjacent lot of the proposed principal structure. The shoreland setback may not be reduced to less than 35 feet from the ordinary high water mark of any navigable waters.

2. REDUCED PRINCIPAL STRUCTURE SETBACK. [s.59.692(1n), Stats.] A setback less than the 75' required setback from the ordinary high water mark shall be permitted for a proposed principal structure and shall be determined as follows:

a. Where there are existing principal structures in both directions, the setback shall equal the average of the distances the two existing principal structures are set back from the ordinary high water mark provided all of the following are met:

1. Both of the existing principal structures are located on an adjacent lot to the proposed principal structure.

2. Both of the existing principal structures are located within 250' of the proposed principal structure and are the closest structure.

3. Both of the existing principal structures are located less than 75' from the ordinary high water mark.

4. The average setback shall not be reduced to less than 35' from the ordinary high water mark of any navigable water.

b. Where there is an existing principal structure in only one direction, the setback shall equal the average of 75 feet and the distance that the existing structure is set back from the ordinary high-water mark provided all of the following are met:

1. The existing principal structure is located on the adjacent lot to the proposed principal structure.

2. The existing principal structure is located within 250' of the proposed principal structure and is the closest structure.

3. The existing principal structure is located less than 75' from the ordinary high water mark.

4. The average setback shall not be reduced to less than 35' from the ordinary high water mark of any navigable water.

Section 10. Section 11.10(g)2 and a. through f. (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance are amended as follows:

2. ESTABLISHMENT OF ACTIVITIES ALLOWED WITHIN A VEGETATIVE BUFFER ZONE. [NR 115.05(1)(c)2.]

To protect water quality, fish and wildlife habitat and natural scenic beauty, and to promote preservation and restoration of native vegetation, the county ordinance shall designate land that extends from the ordinary high water mark to a minimum of 35 feet inland as a vegetative buffer zone and prohibit removal of vegetation in the vegetative buffer zone except as follows:

a. The county may allow routine maintenance of vegetation.

~~b. The county may allow removal of trees and shrubs in the vegetative buffer zone to create access and viewing corridors. Per s. 59.692(1f)(b), Stats., the viewing corridor may be up to 35 feet wide for every 100 feet of shoreline frontage. The viewing corridor may run contiguously for the entire maximum width of shoreline frontage owned. For lots with less than 100 feet of shoreline frontage at the OHWM, the maximum width of the view and access corridor may not exceed thirty-five (35) percent of the lot width at the OHWM. Example, if a property has 199 feet of shoreline frontage, the viewing corridor may be up to 35 feet wide. If a property has 200 feet of frontage, the viewing corridor may be up to 70 feet wide.~~

~~c. When the property owner applies for a Zoning and Land Use Permit on a parcel which includes land within 75 feet of the OHWM, a separate Zoning and Land Use Permit shall identify the location of the access and viewing corridor for that property.~~

~~d. The county may allow removal of trees and shrubs in the vegetative buffer zone on a parcel with 10 or more acres of forested land consistent with "generally accepted forestry management practices" as defined in s. NR 1.25 (2) (b), and described in Department publication "Wisconsin Forest Management Guidelines" (publication FR-226), provided that vegetation removal will be consistent with these practices.~~

e. ~~The county may allow removal of vegetation within the vegetative buffer zone to manage exotic or invasive species, vegetation that must be removed to control disease, is dead or dying, or vegetation creating an imminent safety hazard, provided that any vegetation removed be replaced by replanting in the same area as soon as practicable.~~

f. ~~The county may authorize by land use permit additional vegetation management activities in the vegetative buffer zone. The land use permit issued under this subd. par. shall require that all management activities comply with detailed plans according to Section 11.10(l) that are approved by the county and designed to control erosion by limiting sedimentation into the waterbody, to improve the plant community by replanting in the same area, and to maintain and monitor the newly restored area. The permit also shall require an enforceable restriction to preserve the newly restored area.~~

~~Note: Section 59.692(1f)(a) prohibits counties from requiring a property owner to establish a vegetative buffer zone on previously developed land or expand an existing vegetative buffer zone. However, as part of a county's shoreland mitigation standards [Section 11.10(l)], the establishment or expansion of the vegetative buffer may remain an option.~~

b. The county may allow removal of trees and shrubs in the vegetative buffer zone to create access and viewing corridors. Pursuant to s. 59.692(1f)(b), Stats., the viewing corridor may be 35 feet wide for every 100 feet of shoreline frontage. The viewing corridor may run contiguously for the entire maximum width of shoreline frontage owned.

c. The county may allow removal of trees and shrubs in the vegetative buffer zone on a parcel with 10 or more acres of forested land consistent with "generally accepted forestry management practices" as defined in NR 1.25 (2) (b), Wis. Adm. Code, and described in Department publication "Wisconsin Forest Management Guidelines" (publication FR-226), provided that vegetation removal be consistent with these practices.

d. The county may allow removal of vegetation within the vegetative buffer zone to manage exotic or invasive species, damaged vegetation, vegetation that must be removed to control disease, or vegetation creating an imminent safety hazard, provided that any vegetation removed be replaced by replanting in the same area as soon as practicable.

e. The county may authorize by permit additional vegetation management activities in the vegetative buffer zone. The permit issued under this paragraph shall require that all management activities comply with detailed plans approved by the county and designed to control erosion by limiting sedimentation into the waterbody; improve the plant community by replanting in the same area; and maintain and monitor the newly restored area. The permit also shall require an enforceable restriction to preserve the newly restored area.

Section 11. Section 11.10(k)2 (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is amended as follows:

2. MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, REPLACEMENT OR VERTICAL EXPANSION OF NONCONFORMING STRUCTURES. [s. 59.692(1k)(a)1.b. and d., Wis. Stats.] An existing structure that was lawfully placed when constructed but that does not comply with the required shoreland setback may be maintained, repaired, replaced, restored, rebuilt or remodeled if the activity does not expand the footprint of the nonconforming structure. Further, an existing structure that was lawfully placed when constructed but that does not comply with the required shoreland setback may be vertically expanded unless the vertical expansion would extend more than 35 feet above grade level. Counties may allow expansion of a structure beyond the existing footprint if the expansion is necessary to comply with applicable state or federal requirements.

Note: Section 59.692(1k)(a)~~2, 4 and (b)1.b. and d.~~ prohibit counties from requiring any approval or imposing any fee or mitigation requirement for the activities specified in section 11.10(k)2. However, it is important to note that property owners may be required to obtain permits or approvals and counties may impose fees under ordinances adopted pursuant to other statutory requirements, such as floodplain zoning, general zoning, sanitary codes, building codes, or even stormwater erosion control.

Note: NR115.05(1)(b)1.m. lists structures that are exempt from the shoreland setback. These structures are considered conforming structures and are not considered nonconforming structures. Structures that were granted variances or illegally constructed structures are not considered nonconforming structures.

Section 12. Section 11.10(k)6 (Shoreland Provisions) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is created as follows:

6. MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, REPLACEMENT OR VERTICAL EXPANSION OF STRUCTURES THAT WERE AUTHORIZED BY VARIANCE. [s. 59.692(1k)(a)2. and (a)4.] A structure of which any part has been authorized to be located within the shoreland setback area by a variance granted before July 13, 2015 may be maintained, repaired, replaced, restored, rebuilt or remodeled if the activity does not expand the footprint of the authorized structure. Additionally, the structure may be vertically expanded unless the vertical expansion would extend more than 35 feet above grade level. Counties may allow expansion of a structure beyond the existing footprint if the expansion is necessary to comply with applicable state or federal requirements.

Note: Section 59.692(1k)(a)2. Prohibits counties from requiring any approval or imposing any fee or mitigation requirement for the activities specified in section 12. However, it is important to note that property owners may be required to obtain permits or approvals and counties may impose fees under ordinances adopted pursuant to other statutory requirements, such as floodplain zoning, general zoning, sanitary codes, building codes, or stormwater erosion control.

Section 13. Section 11.10(r)2.n. (Shoreland Provisions) of

the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance is amended as follows:

n. “Impervious surface” [NR 115.03(4g)] means an area that releases as runoff all or a majority of the precipitation that falls on it. “Impervious surface” excludes frozen soil but includes rooftops, sidewalks, driveways, parking lots, and streets unless specifically designed, constructed, and maintained to be pervious. Roadways as defined in s. 340.01(54), Wis. Stats. or sidewalks as defined in s. 340.01(58), Wis. Stats. are not considered impervious surfaces.

Section 13. This ordinance shall be effective after passage and publication as provided by law.

Nass moved for the adoption of Ordinance No. 2017-09. Seconded and carried.

Jones introduced the proclamation on Child Support Awareness Month.

WHEREAS, the State of Wisconsin recognizes children as its greatest resource, and

WHEREAS, children’s well-being, economic security and success in life are enhanced by parents who provide financial and emotional support, and

WHEREAS, Wisconsin’s Child Support Program ensures that parents take responsibility for the care and well-being of their children, supporting the involvement of parents in their children’s lives and providing services to both custodial and noncustodial parents, and

WHEREAS, child support agencies in Wisconsin collected more than \$658 million in child support in 2016, and

WHEREAS, sustaining the Child Support Program is an effective investment in Wisconsin’s future because the Child Support Program increases self-sufficiency, reduces child poverty and has a positive effect on children’s well-being, and

WHEREAS, increased public awareness of the importance of providing children with the support they need and the services offered by the Child Support Program through its county and tribal-child support agencies benefits Wisconsin’s children and families,

NOW, THEREFORE, the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim August as Child Support Awareness Month and commends this observance to all citizens.

Jones moved for the adoption of the above proclamation. Seconded and carried.

Braugler introduced a proclamation on Juror Appreciation Month.

WHEREAS, the right to have a trial by a fair and representative jury is an essential safeguard protected by both the United States and Wisconsin Constitutions, and

WHEREAS, service as a juror in the Jefferson County Circuit Court is, along with voting, one of the most important responsibilities of citizenship, and

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin State Court system, partnering with the State Bar of Wisconsin, has established September as

Juror Appreciation Month, a time to publicly recognize the contribution of those who are summoned and serve.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors hereby proclaims the month of September as Juror Appreciation Month and supports the goals of:

- Educating the public about jury duty and the importance of jury service, and
- Applauding the efforts of jurors who fulfill their civic duty, and
- Ensuring that all jurors are treated with respect and that their service is not unduly burdensome.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors extends its sincere thanks and appreciation to all employers who pay employees their normal wages while they serve as jurors, preventing financial hardship and fostering community strength.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors honors the service and commitment of citizens who perform jury duty, who by participating in the judicial process, aid those elected to serve the citizens of Jefferson County by preserving the rule of law and maintaining the foundation for a free society.

Fiscal Note: Adoption of this proclamation will have no fiscal impact.

Braugler moved for the adoption of the above proclamation. Seconded and carried.

Public Comment (General). None.

Supplemental information presented at the August 8, 2017, Jefferson County Board meeting will be available at the County Clerk's office upon request or on the County's website at www.jeffersoncountywi.gov.

There being no further business, Buchanan moved that the Board adjourn. Seconded and carried at 8:14 p.m.