

LAW ENFORCEMENT/EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MINUTES

DATE: March 26, 2021

Call to Order: Meeting called to order by Dwayne Morris at 8:35 a.m.

Roll Call: Members of the committee present were: Dwayne Morris, Brandon White, Mary Roberts (ZOOM), County Board Chair Steve Nass

Others present were: Sheriff Paul Milbrath, Chief Deputy Jeff Parker, County Administrator Ben Wehmeier, Emergency Management Director Donna Haugom, Ronnie Monroe – Aztalan, Mike Monroe – Aztalan, Jesse Ransom – Middleton, Jerry Hoefs – Oconomowoc, Jon Esele – Enbridge, Bart Johnson – Enbridge, John Schwarz – Enbridge, Gary Kneisl – Enbridge, Kenneth Punsack – Fort Atkinson (ZOOM), Victoria Hatchel – Fort Atkinson (ZOOM)

Absent: Kirk Lund and David Drayna

Certification of Compliance with open meetings law: County Administrator Ben Wehmeier certified compliance with the open meetings law.

Approval of the agenda: The agenda was approved with an amendment to move agenda items #14 and #16 to follow agenda #8 due to Supervisor White needing to leave early. Brandon White made a motion to amend the agenda and was seconded by Mary Roberts. Motion carried.

Public Comment: Various members of the community and representatives of Enbridge spoke regarding the 2019 Enbridge spill near Blackhawk Island Road, Fort Atkinson to include the following individuals: Ronni Monroe – Aztalan, Mike Monroe – Aztalan, Jesse Ransom – Middleton, Jerry Hoefs – Oconomowoc, John Schwarz – Enbridge, Kenneth Punsack – Fort Atkinson, and Victoria Hatchel – Fort Atkinson. Please see the attached addendum for the full commentary.

Approval of the February 26, 2021 meeting minutes: A motion was made by Brandon White, and seconded by Mary Roberts that the February 26, 2021 minutes be approved as printed. Motion carried.

Communications: None.

Grants – Update of ongoing or new grants: Sheriff Milbrath stated that there are two grants ongoing at this time: the multi-jurisdictional speed grant and the alcohol intoximeter grant being completed with the City of Jefferson.

Review monthly bills and financial items (January and February): – The committee approved the monthly recap reports for January 2021 bills in the amount of \$200,348.38 and February 2021 bills in the amount of \$263,469.77. A spreadsheet summarizing current bills was reviewed by the committee members.

Discussion and Possible action on approving jail assessment fund purchases:

The Committee received a report on general jail assessment fund purchases for the month of December totaling \$48,071.90. Payment was made to US Foods for the dishwasher monthly lease payment in the amount of \$271.90 for November and December and to Southern Health Partners in the amount of \$55,000 for part of the 2020 Jail Medical contract expense. There was a reimbursement of \$7,200 from Jefferson Co Literacy Council for 4 months of 2020 jail inmate instruction expenses per Chief Deputy Parker and Sheriff.

The Committee received a report on general jail assessment fund purchases for the beginning on 2021 totaling \$3,735.95. Payment was made to US Foods for the dishwasher monthly lease payment in the amount of \$135.95 for January and to Jefferson Co Literacy Council in the amount of \$3,600 for jail inmate instruction for January and February.

The jail assessment balance at the end of February is \$243,308.42.

Proclamation of Public Safety Telecommunicators Week – April 11 – 17, 2021:

Chief Deputy Parker asked the committee to forward the Proclamation of Public Safety Telecommunicators Week to the full County Board for approval at the April County Board meeting. Mary Roberts made a motion to forward the Proclamation with a second by Steve Nass. Motion carried.

Proclamation of Law Enforcement Memorial Day – May 13, 2021:

Chief Deputy Parker asked the committee to forward the Proclamation of Law Enforcement Memorial Day on May 13, 2021. He stated the plan is to hold the Law Enforcement Memorial Day Ceremony on May 13, 2021 on the front lawn in the Northeast corner of the Courthouse with District Attorney Monica Hall to be the guest speaker. Mary Roberts moved to recommend forwarding the Proclamation of Law Enforcement Memorial Day on May 13, 2021 to the full County Board at the April County Board meeting and was seconded by Brandon White.

Update regarding 2019 Enbridge spill: Enbridge Technical Supervisor John Schwarz gave an in-depth description of what transpired with the spill. Please see the attached addendum for a full report of the statements made.

Report from the Sheriff:

- Sheriff Milbrath reported that in the past couple weeks the Sheriff's Office has received approximately \$5,000 in donations towards the K-9 program. This includes a \$2,500 donation from Western Waukesha County Dog Training Club, \$200 donation from the Jordan Vogel Memorial, a \$500 donation from an anonymous donor, among other donations.
- The older squads are still running on propane, however, the newer squads that are direct injection are not running on propane at this time. There is a fix that is currently being worked on.
- Kitchen Supervisor Brian Nesthus is working on obtaining three bids to rewire the kitchen to bring the electrical up to date.
- Chief Deputy Parker reported on the full body scanner that the Sheriff's Office recently acquired through the CARES grant. He stated that it is serving as a deterrent to inmates coming in to the jail to not have contraband on them. He spoke about an

inmate who once she realized she would be scanned, removed the contraband she had been attempting to bring into the jail.

- Chief also stated that there is one full time dispatcher scheduled to start in the next few weeks who is coming from Rock County with 7 years of experience. This will leave the Sheriff's Office two dispatchers short with Lance Shanahan recently retiring after 21 years with the Sheriff's Office.
- The Sheriff's Office is 100% staffed with deputies.
- The drone team recently re-mapped the area on Highway A in an attempt to locate Kevin Anderson.

Update on Communications Project:

- Sheriff Milbrath reported that the new portable radios are in and Todd Lindert is working on distributing them to the deputies.
- The Cambridge tower site should be completed today and the Palmyra site has been completed and is up and operating.

Review monthly jail and patrol activity reports: Jail and patrol activity reports were made available.

Discussion on potential items for the next meeting agenda:

- Dwayne Morris asked that an update on the 2019 Enbridge spill be added to the April agenda with Emergency Management.

Adjourn: A motion made by Steve Nass to adjourn at 9:42 a.m., was seconded by Mary Roberts. Motion carried.

3-26-2021 Law Enforcement/EM meeting

Enbridge Questions

Question from Ronnie Monroe

Okie doke I am submitting these questions in writing to be answered after the public comments, I am requesting a meeting where the public can directly ask questions and get answers, from Enbridge, something more interactional.

I have no doubt that Enbridge endeavors not to spill and that they are making efforts to clean this up um however I have some questions. Knowing time is limited I am going to go right into these questions and read them nonstop.

- This is for Enbridge. Did any Enbridge Employee or Representative meet with any Jefferson County Elected Official or County Employee prior to today's meeting in regards to this particular spill and is there a public record of this?
- Estimated release volume appears to be based on all data from 2019. 13BE6WC1 SW39 were all done May to October 2019 from which the release volume of 29-33 barrels was eventually calculated. It appears that Enbridge knew or should have known at that time that this was a reportable spill to the DNR and PHIMSA meeting the criteria by over 5 gallons. Why did it take 14 months for this to be reported to the DNR or PHIMSA
- Why does the figure .26 barrels for volume appear on this September 2020 PHIMSA
- How do you report a 1.35 gallon spill on the DNR spill report form or SERT on August 25, 2020 but have a letter of responsibility from the DNR by August 3, 2020?
- How could you report that 1.35 volume when you had all the data at this point that concluded the spill was 29-33 barrels? There is a lot of confusion there, nothing seems to add up.
- In October 2019 Enbridge claimed to execute a soil bore in March 2020 "At which time the project which was transitioned to the remediation group was put on hold due to COVID" This implies a spill large enough to require remediation. Why would a 1.35 gallon spill need remediation? At this point Enbridge had not reported the volume of the spill and had apparently decided they did not have to report it immediately. Later it appears the same data they had since October 29 again was used to prepare the SERT report on August 25, 2020 and the revised PHIMSA and the SERT report for the DNR in January of 2020. When plans for a soil bore were put on hold in March 2020 because of COVID according to the PHIMSA report. Doesn't Enbridge have the capacity to provide its workers and contractors with sophisticated respirators? Isn't remediation of spills an essential

service and the bore was not done until July of 2020 and it showed considerable contamination of ground water? That's it.

Thank you Ronni thank you so much.

Mike are you prepared to speak on that?

Thank you (coughing)

Mike -

The pull of the pipe is 180,000 barrels per day or or 5250 gallons per minute It likely leaked for over 21 days with a total volume of 158 million plus gallons of diluent travelling past the area breached yet the NRC report initially states that 1.35 gallons was spilled. Would a reasonable person think that the spill volume was 1.35 gallons or even 1400 gallons given the flow rate?

The operating pressure is normally 1250 PSI per Enbridge literature in the Pfizer report the pressure at the time of the leak was 416 PSI. The Pfizer report says the pipeline was not operating under a pressure conduction at the time. It appears that 834 PSI was lost. SKADA which is the detection system did not detect the leak according to the PHIMSA report. Does the SKADA system frequently miss a loss of 65% of the pipeline's pressure? On the PHIMSA report Section 8 on May 17 a loose elbow on the body's lead piping was identified as the source of the release. A temporary repair was made on line 13 until an outage could be scheduled. However that same PHIMSA report states that the pipeline was shut down due to an accident on May 17.

On June 2 a permanent repair was done. Which day was the pipeline shut down? Was it June 2 or May 17 or both? In the PHIMSA report dated 1-15-21 the amount of 29 barrels is given as the spill amount and 29 barrels were recovered. Also reported were 16 gallon in ground water. If 29 were spilled and 29 were recovered where did the 16 gallons of ground water come from?

The last question is what is dichloro difluoro methane and why were the levels so high after the spill? It is commonly known as Theron 12 Thank you.

Ok thank you that was Mike. Let's see Jerry you are listed as Oconomowoc, Jessie are you a Jefferson County Resident "no" John are you a Jefferson County Resident "No" Barton Johnson are you Jefferson County Resident "No" John Schwartz are you a Jefferson county Resident "yes" All right we will hear from you next. You have 3 minutes

Hello my name is John Schwartz I work for Enbridge as the technical supervisor. I came here today at the request of the Chairman and Donna to talk about our release that we had on line 13 at Blackhawk Island Road at the offsite um we did had a release in 2019 basically we found the odor, we then proceeded to excavate after we had an environmental consultant characterize what the odor was with a bore. Once we found it had the characteristics of diluent we proceeded to excavate the valve on the surface piping which is axillary piping for maintenance.

We found an elbow that had a weep on it. That elbow is not part of the SKADA system and it was only used for maintenance for the valve; it is not used for main line piping of the pipeline. We did take an outage to take that elbow off and plug that area as a temporary repair. We had a new elbow that was just tested and replaced that elbow on June 2 2019. We continued to excavate and test the soil later that year and we excavated up to other facilities. As some of you know we have 4 pipelines in the area and we ran into other structures where we could not excavate any further due to possibly compromising those structures after that we went into winter and then the next year we had an environmental consultant that is independent from us come out and characterize the spill with taking borings and to find the extent of any contamination that was present. We have been working with the DNR on that plan and they are in full cooperation with us and they are directing the remediation efforts.

Ok and then John are we going to hear from another Enbridge employee as per the agenda or will you continue

Who else is here as a representative of Enbridge?

We have some non-county residents.

I don't mind

Jessie you are from Middleton? Go ahead

October 9, 2019 Benzine and soil contamination was found at Wisconsin DNR limits for soil to (?) contaminated soil was left in place because it is impractical to remove. Why is it impractical to remove? Ummm 33 barrels is what they estimate they lost off a pipe that is not on the main line. So 33 barrels is equivalent to about 90 trucks sitting there leaking their fuel. They had just commented about not excavating around buildings, it's kinda their responsibility at that point. Umm as you know like old gas stations that leak you have to excavate the entire area to get those contaminants off ummm ground water that's said to be running in a south easterly direction umm why are FE1, 7 and 15 all showing ground water pollution if they are to the north and soil contamination did not contribute following the completion of interim actions limited soil (?) near the source of release within the fenced in area of line 13MP and 13 12 site. 27 samples from soil borings outside the fenced areas did not contaminate concentrations of GRO or (?)

Above laboratory detection limits? Could that be because 16 months elapsed between the spill occurring and when the bores were taken? Would natural continuation have decreased the levels of petrochemicals significantly? SRI states while (?) amounts of practicum soil will remain within the secured fenced area, the extent - magnitude of soil impact with respect to the release appears to be limited in nature and defined. The relatively small area of soil impact is consistent with the high volatility and water solubility of the diluent. Is this consistent with increased levels of petrochemicals and NW1 and petrochemicals inside numerous soil bores well outside the fenced area? What is the actual depth of the pipeline?

THM SA form says 180 inches of cover or 15 feet SRI report says 10 feet?

Thank you

And let's see. Jerry or was that Jerry or Jessie? That was Jessie. Okay Jerry you are here to speak as well.

Yes I am.

Go right ahead.

Jerry -

Okay, in July 2020 the B1 site inside the fence where the contaminated soil was removed a year prior benzene was at 4800 micrograms per liter. In September 2020 the benzene went to 23,700 micrograms per liter. And in January 2021 the benzene was at 24,400. In that same fenced in area gasoline range organics was at 11,000 in September of 2020 gasoline ranged organics was not done and in January 2021 gasoline ranged organics was 42,900. Uhh inside the fenced area in July 2020 toluene was 998 in September uhh that well monitoring well 1 57 feet away from B1 the toluene was 7,650 and in January 21 monitoring well 1 had toluene at 10,400. Were the groundwater results for that done on 1-18-2021 of NW 1 considered in the calculations for the PHS (?) update calculations spill volume that was reported in 2021, January of 2021? What are these levels so much higher in monitoring well 1 than at B1 which was the site of the breach and closer in time to their spill event? Why are monitoring well 1 levels increasing when Enbridge states that the oil was recovered in the PHMSA update on January 15, 2021. Would removal of additional contaminated soil shortly after the spill have prevented groundwater from being contaminated?

Thank you

The rest of you are here from outside of Jefferson County. You signed up on the sign in sheet but uh you are here as a representative from Enbridge and so we will hear from you then per the agenda which is time #11. I don't believe we have anyone else signed

up for public comment. I don't see anybody online. Alright seeing no one else who wants to make public comment we will move on.

Sir, can I make a public comment I was just able to get my speaker to work. You certainly may. Can you state your name sir and where you live?

Yes my name is Kenneth Punsack I live at W6871 Hartwig Lane which is approximately ½ mile south of the pipeline in question

My comment and question is what are we to do about our wells. I would think Enbridge would be advisable to have us test our water to ensure that our wells are safe and sound. I also have a neighbor with me who lives directly across from me on Hartwig Lane

My name is Victoria Hachtel and I live at W6876 Hartwig lane probably the closest one to that pipeline at this point and my comment is simply I have lived here for 22 years I have always felt that Enbridge was a good neighbor they would contact us every year and make sure we know what to do if we see a spill At this point what we found out this late in the game I can't trust them anymore and I don't know exactly at this point which direction we have to take because anything that comes out of their mouth at this point is not truthful. I have already been contacted by the State Journal. I have not talked to them yet I want to hear what Enbridge had to say first but at this point I am very disgusted and hurt by the way they treated us

Kenneth -

Well I have a question also when was the County or Township notified of this. This is 2 years after the spill. Uhh yes we understand that they tested but I think that it should have been expanded. I mean there's other homes right in our immediate area and when you dealing with drinking water and we have a huge horse ranch just east of our location so I think there should be something addressed as to what we are to do as far as ensuring that our wells are safe and secure and there is nothing going on and that is something I would one of the representatives from the company should address.

Thank you very much do we have anyone else online wanting to speak for public comment? All right hearing none we will move on the the approval of the 2021 meeting minutes

During Enbridge statements an interruption with the following question is made.

Why weren't we notified sooner?

After Enbridge's statements -

As to the distance you are playing, how are you measuring that? So actually south of that location I am going off the top of my head as to approximately ½ a mile is closer is my neighbor why couldn't you have had the common decency to let people know. We could have had the choice as to whether or not to have our wells checked. I understand you're trying to take safety precautions and such but when you are dealing with groundwater and people's lives and wells I would have at least thought you would have contacted us too.

This is not the forum for public questions.

What other forum can we have when we aren't notified of the situation until 2-3 days prior to it so I just wanna make a comment that there should have been additional contacts made? I know you are saying this but without contacting people in the area I don't know what we can say is truthful or not. I'm sorry to be that way but we have quite a few people in this area who have been drinking this water for how long and we don't know

Board - You don't need to apologize.

Thank you I appreciate that

Board - I guess that is something that outside of this forum you can raise with Enbridge yourself.

We will move forward here

Will you provide the name of your Environmental Specialist so we can have information as to the leak and how far it went?

So we have 4 representatives is that correct from Enbridge and uh what we are asking you to do is to give us a report You hear the things that John said and John if you need to repeat any of that or if anyone needs to repeat any of that , that would be fine. You also hear several questions that have been brought up by the public and certainly addressing those would be a good idea I think. Now are you all 4 going to say something or is just one.

(muffled) Do we have just one? I will just go ahead and start it.

I think it might be best in the interest of those here.

John Schwartz - Can everyone hear me okay?

Yeah can you just move the mic up a little closer to your mouth. That will be helpful especially for those people online.

Again I am John Schwartz, I am the technical supervisor of the area and we are here to talk about our Line 13 release that we had on Blackhawk Island Road at our remote valve site in 2019. Again that was an elbow on our service piping that we use for maintenance of the valve. It was not on the main line at all. Um, and that sees pressure when the valves in motion. We had an odor that was detected so we started investigated that odor, there is a natural gas line from WE energies that is across the street also that goes down Blackhawk Island Road. We contacted them to investigate their line also to try and figure out what it was. We had an environmental consultant come in and characterize with soil borings what contaminants were present if any. Once we found that we found that it matched the characteristics of diluent , we immediately started to excavate around the valve site to figure out what the problem was.

We went down and found the elbow in question on the service piping, we took out that elbow and made a temporary repair to plug it and then came back when we had another elbow that was tested already and we put that elbow on to repair the service piping. We did continue to test and go down and excavate to the extents of the structure that was in the valve site that it would allow us to do. You can only excavate a hole so big before the walls are straight up and down you eventually have to bench back in . If anyone is familiar with the soils around there its more of a sandy loam type of soil so you have to significant bench back to have safety in the hole for an excavation.

When we did the excavation for the valve repair for instance it was approximately 35 feet in diameter at the top to get down to that 17 feet where we made the repair. After we excavated to the extent , winter came in and we did take some more environmental studies trying to see the extent of any contamination that we had and we have been working with an independent environmental consultant for that and they are well versed in contaminations and making sure that all the reports are filed with the DNR. We have been working with the DNR , they have been actively working with us, making sure that we that all of the I's are dotted and the T's are crossed. We have been working safely the whole time that we did the repair also.

As far as the increase from the 1.35 gallons to the current estimate of 29 barrels that is based on the environmental consultant's actions of defining the edges of release and doing another calculation on it. So that is where that number comes from.

Other than that like I said we are working with the DNR , we are doing everything correctly. We did report to all agencies as we are required to do and as far as the land owners who made the comment we would be more than happy to test their well water for them. In fact we were just talking about that in a meeting earlier this week. The landowners that were on are I believe about a ½ mile away. The edges of this contamination if I remember correctly are about 200-250 feet.

Public - Whoa whoa how do you know that?

Board - Thank you he is giving his testimony right now.

John Schwartz - So again that was determined by the environmental consultant that does this kind of study and that is why we have them monitor each well from there. That is how they determine the edge of the contamination.

Board - What would you say was the edge of contamination?

John Schwartz - It was approximately 200 feet downstream to the southeast. We did test across the Blackhawk Island Road to see if there was any contamination on the west side of the road and there was not. Again we are working with the DNR and taking any suggestions that they have to ensure that we are fully remediating this release.

Board - Any other questions?

Public - Yeah can I ask a question?

Board - The public really isn't allowed at this point to ask questions because it is not a Enbridge combined open public meeting .

Public - So I don't know why we weren't notified sooner ?

Board - That is a fair question and I will ask that for you. So why weren't they notified sooner?

Jon Schwartz - So again, in 2019 when we had this release we determined from what the release was and we estimated a volume of 1.35 gallons that was based on when we looked at it and what the release was that we saw it. We knew that we were going to do more environmental studies but at that time it was not reportable to any external agency.

Once we had the environmental consultant define the edges the release was already stopped at that point and we were defining what contamination there was. When it became reportable we reported it to all agencies as we are required to do.

Board - So you reported to agencies ?

John Schwartz - Correct.

Board - But is it fair to say John that you didn't really contact any of the neighbors. Is that fair to say?

John Schwartz - That is actually not fair to say. We actually had discussions with the landowner that was affected with the valve site on the land and directly across the street and directly north.

Board - Okay so these people are just beyond that is that...

John Schwartz - That's correct. Again the contamination was around 200 feet , the closest well that we saw was 700 feet away.

Board - Okay, and that is your reason for not contacting them?

John Schwartz - Well I believe from the public comments that 2 landowners that were on are approximately ½ mile away, just remembering from their comments. We are more than happy to test their wells if they have a concern and we can go from there.

Board - I have one comment here that was given to me that there were 18 properties designated within a 1200 foot radius of the spill. So is that just, 1200 feet is too far out is that correct?

John Schwartz- The 18 properties are within 1200 feet of the release but as defined by the expanse of the release when we did the borings and within the monitoring wells the expanse of the release were 200 feet. And again we are working with the DNR on that and if they have any concerns or want us to do more testing we are following all those recommendations.

Board - And is it true that the DNR didn't encourage you particularly to contact any of these people?

John Schwartz - They have not said anything.

Board - Okay

Then as I am understanding the narrative of the incident in the report that was filed it was detected because there was a worker out in the area who caught a whiff of something is that the initial.

John Schwartz - Yes that was the initial, we had an odor, we were doing some maintenance on valves , we found an odor so we started investigating that odor. We didn't even know there was a release that we had to take care of and we didn't know it was ours either at that point.

Board - Are there other ways built into the process to detect when you have weeping like this in an elbow or it that just too difficult to discern?

John Schwartz - The small leak that we had on the elbow is a pretty difficult release to discern.

Board - Is that because of , it doesn't rise to the level of significant loss of pressure?

John Schwartz - Correct and that piping only sees pressure when the valve is in motion. Normally when the valve isn't full open or full closed that piping doesn't see line pressure. It only sees line pressure when the valve is in motion in between open and close. We do have significant detection for the main line for a pressure drop or anything like that. Other questions from the board?

Board - Umm Mary do you have any questions?

Brandon?

Brandon - No questions from me thank you.

Public- I have one question as to the distance you are claiming how are you measuring that?

We are actually south of that location I am going by the top of my head that its approximately ½ a mile it's probably closer. Our neighbor is , I'm thinking why couldn't you at least out of common decency let people know? So we could have had the choices to have our wells checked or not. I understand you are trying to take safety precautions and everything else but when you are dealing with well water and people's lives and wells I would have at least felt that you should contact

Board -Thank you so much you ask a very good question and this isn't the forum for public questions that forum can be had still alright?

Public -I realize that but what other forum can we have when we aren't even notified until 4 or 5 days prior to it so I just want to make public comment that there should have been additional contacts made. I know you are saying this but without

Board -Thank you

Public -Without contacting people in the area I just don't know what we can say is it truthful or not. I am sorry to be that way but we have quite a few people in this area who have been drinking water for how long? We don't know.

Board -You don't need to apologize.

Public -I appreciate that

Board -I guess that is something that outside of this forum you can raise with Enbridge yourself. So we will move forward here.

Would you like to respond to anything he said here you don't have to?

John Schwartz - Basically I would just say like I said earlier in my comments here if there is a concern with the gentleman and his well we would be more than happy to test it. We did talk in our meeting about making sure that there was contact with additional landowners so sir what was your address again?

Kenneth Punsack - W6871 Hartwig Lane and my neighbor is right here

Victoria W6876 Hartwig lane

Kenneth - And will you provide the name of your environmental specialist who provided you with your information as to the leak and how far it went?

John Schwartz - So the consultant that we utilized was AE Com for the initial

Kenneth - I'm sorry I couldn't quite hear you sir

John Schwartz - It was AE Com and they work with DNR all the time. We are moving to a different contractor just because they have more experience not that AE Com didn't

have experience but we have another contractor that we are using that has more experience I don't recall the name of them currently

Kenneth - Do you have a phone number that we can contact your company direct and with whom we could speak that is familiar with this?

Board -That was going to be my question as well John, would there be a way that some of these people in the neighborhood could contact you.

John -So I am going to have one of my other people that are here with me speak to because we have our public relations person here.

Board-Sure so you want to go ahead and move onto that John? Ok so you are?

-John Eisley, Enbridge Energy

Board -And you are the public relations contact?

John Eisley-I am

Board -Very good. Go ahead John.

John Eisley-For well testing for the citizens with concerns, David Schultz with the Enbridge office in Janesville will be your contact.

Board-So for all of you here David Schultz at the Enbridge Office in Janesville.

John Eisley -Correct.

(public) Do you have a phone number

John Eisley -Mark what is the front desk number there?

(board) Hold on one minute we will get it for you all.

John Eisley Which one Mark? I don't have that number on this
608-756-3167

Board - Can you repeat that one more time please

608-756-3167

Board - Okay thank you.

John Eisley- Would you like an email address as well

Board - That is up to you if you would like to share it

David.schultz@enbridge.com

Board - .com ? okay

Thank you very much do you have anything else you would like to add then?

John Eisley - Just to echo John's comments, the direct agencies the DNR and PHISMA were notified of the release when it became apparent that we had the release and it met the qualifications to do so. We are working in concert with the DNR of there remediation as we continue to have 8 monitoring wells and are looking at additional ones if need be by the DNR's instruction. Thank you that is all.

Kenneth- One additional comment we just for the heck of it went off and checked it on our vehicle it was 2/10ths of a mile not a 1/2 a mile. So that's kind of where the crow flies as to where are wells are located.

Board- Thank you

Kenneth - I wish you would have contacted people in the area. I know you did a couple but there are more than 2 landowners involved here.

Board- Thank you we will follow up on that. I do appreciate you sharing that with us and I do appreciate Enbridge being forthright and then at this point the report that has been filed calculates it at 29 barrels do you expect that number to change at all?

John -Not to our knowledge at this time as the repair has been made and everything is functioning as it is supposed to. DNR is continuing to look at the site but we don't believe that number will grow substantially at all.

Board - All right thank you very much. I have no more questions, Brandon or Mary do you have any questions? Steve do you have any questions Thank you so much.

Thank you for the public who has come here obviously as they have significant self interest in it Thank you