

**JEFFERSON COUNTY  
LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMITTEE  
VIA ZOOM**

**Wednesday, May 19, 2021 at 1:00 p.m.**

**MEETING MINUTES**

1. Call to Order – This meeting was called to order at 1:00 pm by Adam Bols. – Quorum is established
2. Roll Call – Members Present: Gail Scott, Adam Bols, Donna Haugom, Tracy Neuhauser Sheriff Paul Milbrath, Sam Lamuro, Paul Hable, John Sinclair, Wes Benish, Kraig Biefeld, John Sinclair, Tyler Kubicek, Captain Travis Maze  
Others Present: Ben Schliesman, Blair Ward, Trevor Bannister, Jadine Sonada, Kevin Hollis, Kenneth Pundsack, Victoria Hachtel, Ronni Monroe, Anita Martin, Zach Wilson
3. Certification of Compliance with the Open Meetings Law
4. Review of the Agenda – Due to the number of public speakers and to stay within the by-laws 30 minutes is allotted for public comment. The 30 minutes will be divided by the number of people wishing to speak and the time will be shared. The agenda is also changed to move Enbridge before the public comments in hopes to clarify some of the public comments. It is also noted that item # 10-line G Insight FS is actually Insight Communications. The agenda was reviewed; MOTION to approve agenda as is made by Milbrath, SECOND by Hable. Motion carried.
5. Public Comment – Members of Enbridge are presented to speak on the 5/17/2019 spill and what measures have been put in place since then to help the prevention of spills in the future.
6. Members of public via zoom are asked if anyone would like to participate in public comment. No zoom attendees wish to comment.

Members of the public that are present are invited to speak and 4 people wish to participate in the public comment section. Each person is given 7 ½ minutes.

See attached for detailed questions.

7. Discussion and approval of the February 17, 2021 Meeting Minutes. – MOTION made to approve minutes by Samantha LaMuro, SECOND by Paul Milbrath. Motion carried.
8. Communications – None.
9. Spill Reports – Tracy Neuhauser gave updates on spill reports.

A spill that occurred on 2/24/21 and was reported that same day by Kevin Nestingen with Kwik Trip. The spill was gasoline. Kwik Trip took responsibility of the spill. 5 gallons was believed to have been spilled. Since it was on an impervious surface it is technically not a reportable spill.

There was a spill reported on 03/23/21 at County Rd E and Schuyler, that occurred on the same day. The spill consisted of salt. An 8,000-gallon brine tank leaked and there were approximately 6 inches of left so the quantity of the release was not able to be determined. The responsible party was Northwest Services and the spill was reported by the property owner John Kannard. Since a brine solution was released very little salt was recovered and cleanup was a simple scrape of soil where salt was visible.

On 4/24/2021 potential spill of a pinkish red substance originally thought to be Hydraulic fluid and resulted in dead fish. The fish kill was reported via the DNR hotline. The possible spill was located at Red Cedar Lake at N3499 County Rd A in the City of Cambridge and was investigated by Warden Alex Brooks. This was determined to be a fish kill from natural causes due to the unusually cold February weather.

On 3/27/2021 William Loeder of Loeder Oil reported a diesel fuel release that occurred that same day. 4 gallons were released when a customer removed the nozzle from her vehicles tank while her hand was still on the trigger. 4 gallons were recovered and inspection showed no fuel reached the storm drain. The spill was contained to the concrete and Lake Mills Fire Dept. completed the cleanup using speedy – dry. Warden Brooks also came out and inspected the cleanup.

The final reported spill occurred on 4/23/2021 and was reported the same day by WSP Dispatch. The responsible party was identified as One-Call 365 and the contact is Andy Johnson. The State Patrol had responded to a report of an erratic driver and found a 55-gallon drum of apple wash had been spilled along the EB side of I-94 between Johnson Creek and the

Ixonix/Sullivan exits. The container was held in a box truck and had left a small trail for miles on the interstate. The truck was flagged down and when they stopped they secured the leak. The driver continued to his facility to clean the truck. The OCSC reported the wash to be a surfactant and not a concern. Clean up was determined to be unnecessary due to benign nature of the product.

10. Off-site Plans 2021 – Donna Haugom gives an update that each year 13 plans are updated each year. Due to a turnover in staff the plans are still being worked on. The following is a list of the potential EHS chemicals at each of the sites that are currently under work:

**JONES DAIRY FARM**

CHEMICAL	LOCATION	AMOUNT
ANYHYDROUS AMMONIA	PLANT 2 & WEST ADDITION	94,500 LBS
ANYHYDROUS AMMONIA	SOUTH PLANT	27,000 LBS
SULFURIC ACID – FORKLIFT BATTERIES	PLANTS 1, 2 & WEST ADDITION	4,210 LBS
SULFURIC ACID – FORKLIFT BATTERIES	SOUTH PLANT	892 LBS
SULFURIC ACID 93%	WASTEWATER TRTMT PLANT SOUTH	9,564 LBS

**GENERAC – 351 N COLLINS RD JEFFERSON**

CHEMICAL	LOCATION	AMOUNT
SULFURIC ACID BATTERIES		4,700 LBS

**TYSON – FKA KEYSTONE 1015 INDUSTRIAL AVE - JEFFERSON**

CHEMICAL	LOCATION	AMOUNT
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA		1,637 LBS

**WALMART – JEFFERSON**

CHEMICAL	LOCATION	AMOUNT
SULFURIC ACID BATTERIES		2,625 LBS

**CLARK EQUIPMENT DBA DOOSAN BOBCAT FKA SCHILLER LANDSCAPE – JOHNSON CREEK**

CHEMICAL	LOCATION	AMOUNT
SULFURIC ACID BATTERIES		7,200 LBS
SULFURIC ACID	PAINT LINE WASHING PROCESS	2,680 LBS

**TREK – JOHNSON CREEK**

CHEMICAL	LOCATION	AMOUNT
SULFURIC ACID (BATTERIES)		4,325 LBS

**FRONTIER TOWER SITE FKA INSIGHT FS - LAKE MILLS**

CHEMICAL	LOCATION	AMOUNT
SULFURIC ACID (BATTERIES)		1,225 LBS

MOTION by Lamuro, SECOND by Bob to approve the three presented plans. Motion carried.

11. Agency Updates

- a. American Red Cross – No updates
- b. Salvation Army – No updates

c. South Central WI Healthcare Emergency Readiness Coalition (SCWIHERC) – Gail Scott gave update.

12. Set Time/Date Next Meeting Tentative – August 18, 2021 at 1:00 p.m., UW-Extension Room 12 or other location to be determined at a later date.

Anyone wanting to answer questions posed to Enbridge is reminded to sign in on the sheet and to provide their email address.

13. Adjourn – MOTION by Haugom, SECOND by Scott. Motion Carried.

5-9-2021 LEPC MEETING – QUESTIONS TO ENBRIDGE

RESPONSES SHOULD BE EMAILED TO THE FOLLOWING:

Kenneth Pundsack     [Kenneth.pundsack@gmail.com](mailto:Kenneth.pundsack@gmail.com)  
Victoria Hachtel     [victoriahachtel@gmail.com](mailto:victoriahachtel@gmail.com)  
Ronni Monroe     [ronnimonroe@gmail.com](mailto:ronnimonroe@gmail.com)  
Anita J Martin     [anitam@jeffersoncounty.wi.gov](mailto:anitam@jeffersoncounty.wi.gov)  
Zach Wilson     [chirow1@gmail.com](mailto:chirow1@gmail.com)

1. What do you plan to do to help us protect our health and our property.
2. Where are the local governments – they have heard nothing from the DNR the Township.
3. How well is their property protected.
4. Would like carbon filters at Enbridge's expense for the wells.
5. Why didn't they get a call from Enbridge about the spill they only found out from a citizen in Lake Mills.
6. Should I be trying to file Felony Charges against Enbridge for trying to poison us?
7. I want someone to tell me that there wasn't anything in it from the time that it happened – over a year and ½ before we were notified.
8. Tell me that you are going to protect me from here on out.
9. Are you not essential workers?
10. Did Enbridge contact residents nearby the spill area to inform them of this meeting?
11. Did any governmental agency contact the residents specifically about this meeting?
12. Please explain what a confined aquifer is and how this could be affected if diluent get into it.
13. Please explain how flood and drought conditions as well as typical seasonal variability affect the shallow aquifers and how this affects concentrations of Gasoline Range Organics and BTEX chemicals in the aquifers and monitoring wells over time. Does either increase or decrease concentration of chemicals?
14. Has a PHMSA update been filed with the correct information regarding pipeline depth, valve depth and will the update include any new spill volume that may come from the additional testing?
15. Have other valves of the same type along the pipeline been checked for this problem?

16. Has this type of valve problem occurred before Line 13 or any Enbridge Pipelines in the US or Canada?
17. Is this style of valve currently used or planned for the rebuilds on Line 5 and Line 3?
18. I would like to recommend the following actions that could be taken in terms of safety for residents along the pipe:
  - a. It would be reasonable for County Emergency Personnel to have a map of the pipeline in detail with properties located within the consultation zone.
  - b. In addition, a list of residents residing in the consultation zone with addresses and phone numbers and a reverse 911 system in place for use for evacuation.
  - c. Given how profound the vapor and gas release was from this small volume spill, it concerns me how much could be released in a larger spill, so I am asking for personal gas monitors, one per household for those residing in the consultation zone along with yearly training regarding the device and how to react to safety hazards along the pipeline.
19. What is Enbridge's Environmental Impairment Liability Insurance Coverage?
20. How much total Liability Insurance coverage does Enbridge currently have? It is important to know if your company has enough insurance to cover pipeline spill costs which may not be paid for by the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund. There is such a fund I don't know how much money is in it but if the dollars exceed them then we need to know.
21. Have the internal delays that prevented the \$700 fee to the DNR from being paid been resolved?
22. Has the internal delay caused delay in the implementation of the interim action plan?
23. For future spills:
  - a. Please notify the municipality where the spill occurred
  - b. Notify the County in writing as soon as possible. No matter if you initially think the spill is less than 5 gallons.
  - c. Please Enbridge Representatives conduct community training for our residents that live on the pipeline regarding safety issues, responding to a spill, evacuation etc. This needs to be a public meeting, clearly and properly noticed, videotaped and posted on a municipal site for those unable to attend to view.
  - d. Whenever Enbridge representatives meet with county staff and or County Elected officials to discuss a pipeline spill which has already occurred there needs to be a mechanism for transparency and public access to these discussions.